Case 3:17-cv-03022-JST Document 449	Filed 08/16/18 Page 1 of 2		
BIJAL V. VAKIL (SBN 192878) SHAMITA D. ETIENNE-CUMMINGS (SBN 202090) ALLEN W. WANG (SBN 278953) WHITE & CASE LLP 3000 El Camino Real Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 900 Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: (650) 213-0300; Fax: (650) 213-8158 Email: bvakil@whitecase.com Email: setienne@whitecase.com Email: allen.wang@whitecase.com			
JOHN R. KEVILLE (admitted pro hac vice) ERIC S. SCHLICHTER (admitted pro hac vice) ROBERT L. GREEN (admitted pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1111 Louisiana Street, 25th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5242 Tel.: (713) 651-2600; Fax: (713) 651-2700 Email: jkeville@winston.com Email: eschlichter@winston.com Email: rlgreen@winston.com			
Attorneys for Defendant WALMART INC.			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 3:17-cv-03023-JST WAL-MART STORES, INC. NOTICE TO COURT REGARDING JOINT STATUS UPDATE		

/HITE & CASE LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SILICON VALLEY

16	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,	Case No. 3:17-cv-03023-JST
17	Plaintiff,	WAL-MART STORES, INC. NOTICE TO COURT
18	V.	REGARDING JOINT STATUS UPDATE
19	WALMART STORES, INC.,1	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
20	Defendant.	
21		
22	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED,	Case No. 3:17-cv-03022-JST
23	ŕ	
24	Plaintiff, v.	
25	AMAZON.COM, INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27		
28	Walmart Stores, Inc. formally changed its name to Walmart Inc. on February 1, 2018.	

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Walmart files this notice pursuant to the Court's order, Dkt. 448, Case No. 17-cv-03022, calling for "[t]he parties to provide a Joint Status Update regarding discovery."

Walmart attempted to meet and confer with Eolas on the joint update, and provided Eolas with a draft at that time, but Eolas informed Walmart it would exclude Walmart's position from the update. To prevent the Court from reaching the conclusion that Walmart has chosen to take no position on discovery, Walmart provides its position below:

WALMART'S POSITION

As stated by Walmart's counsel during the April 26, 2018 proceedings on the question of document production, the McKool documents go to substantive issues including, but not limited to, Walmart's defenses of patent term adjustment and unclean hands. See Walmart's Second Amended Answer to Second Amended Complaint at 5-6, Dkt. No. 93, CAND-3-17-cv-03023. However, to date Eolas has been unwilling to provide these documents to Walmart, despite several discussions and Walmart's further attempts to confer. Walmart has not escalated the issue while disputes remain as to the scope of Eolas's production to Google and Amazon. However, Walmart seeks the Court's guidance in streamlining the dispute between Walmart and Eolas to achieve a resolution. It would be more efficient for Walmart to be involved in these proceedings as they occur in the first instance.

Dated: Respectfully submitted, August 16, 2018

> /s/ Bijal V. Vakil By: Bijal V. Vakil

Attorneys for Defendant Walmart Inc.